1 FIRM 2 Roger Schlafly, Pro Se PO Box 1680 May 9 2 18 PH '96 3 Soquel, CA 95073 telephone: (408) 476-3550 RICHARD W. WIEKING 4 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT NO. DIST. OF CA. S.J 5 6 7 8 In the United States District Court for the Northern District of California 9 10 ROGER SCHLAFLY, Plaintiff Case C-94-20512 SW PVT and C-96-20094 SW 11 v. Memo Regarding Case 12 PUBLIC KEY PARTNERS, and Management Statement 13 RSA DATA SECURITY INC., Defendants,) Hon. Spencer Williams 14 and CARO-KANN CORP., Intervenor.) 10 am, May 15, 1996 15 16 17 This is a brief memo by Schlafly stating his position on the joint 18 case management statements by the parties in RSADSI v. Cylink, C-19 96-20094 SW. I supplied both parties with my positions on the 20 issues in the hope of signing on to a joint statement. 21 insisted on drafting a separate statement, either of which I would 22 have signed had I been given the opportunity. 23 24 25 My position is as follows. 1. The issues at stake for the consolidated trial are the 26 validity, scope, and licensing of the Stanford patents. 27 28

```
2. The recent Supreme Court decision in Markman v. Westview
 1
    implies that a Markman hearing on claim contruction followed by a
 2
    trial on factual issues is appropriate for patent cases of this
 3
    type.
 4
 5
    3. The parties are ready for trial this summer. The schedule
 6
    proposed by Cylink is reasonable. I will not oppose any schedule
 7
    on the grounds that it is too quick.
 8
 9
    Here is a brief history of the dispute, offered to support the
10
    argument that this controversy is ripe for trial.
11
12
    April 1980: Diffie-Hellman patent issues.
13
14
    August 1980: Hellman-Merkle patent issues.
15
16
    August 1983: RSADSI chairman writes a 10-page memo analyzing the
17
    scope and validity of Hellman-Merkle patent.
18
19
    March 1987: RSADSI receives license to Stanford patents.
20
    of its products are subsequently marked as using the Stanford
21
    patents.
22
23
    April 1990: RSADSI gains a majority interest in the Stanford
24
    patents, through Public Key Partners, and asserts that they cover
25
    all uses of public key technology.
26
27
   June 1992: RSADSI obtains a large cash payment from TRW as
28
    settlement of a lawsuit it and Cylink/CKC had filed for
```

page 2

MEM CASE MAN STMNT

```
1
    infringement of the Stanford patent.
 2
 3
    July 1994: Schlafly files a lawsuit against Public Key Partners
 4
    and RSADSI alleging that the Stanford patents are invalid.
 5
 6
    September 1995: RSADSI loses control of the Stanford patents in
 7
    arbitration, and immediately announces that they are invalid.
 8
 9
    May 1996: RSADSI argues that it needs another year to familiarize
10
    itself with the facts related to the Stanford patents.
11
12
    September 1997: Diffie-Hellman patent expires.
13
14
    October 1997: Hellman-Merkle patent expires.
15
16
   Dated: May 7, 1996

May 7, 1996
17
18
19
20
21
22
    Plaintiff, Roger Schlafly, Pro Se
23
24
25
26
27
```

1 2 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 3 Schlafly v. Public Key Partners and RSA Data Security Inc. Case No. C-94-20512-SW, (PVT). 4 Filed on July 27, 1994, San Jose, Calif. 5 6 The undersigned hereby certifies that he caused a copy of: 7 Memo Regarding Case Management Statement to be served this date by First Class Mail upon the 8 9 persons at the place and address stated below which is the last known address: 10 11 Thomas R. Hogan 60 S Market St Ste 1125 12 San Jose, CA 95113 13 Thomas E. Moore Tomlinson et al 14 200 Page Mill Rd Palo Alto, CA 94306 15 Karl J. Kramer 16 Morrison et al 755 Page Mill Rd 17 Palo Alto, CA 94304 and to be emailed to Patrick Flinn at pflinn@alston.com. 18 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State 20 of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 21 Executed in Soquel, Calif. at the date below. 22 23 24 25 Plaintiff, Roger Schlafly, Pro Se 26 27

28